

Return to Office Canada 2026: A Data-Driven Analysis

By 2727 Coworking | Published December 27, 2025 | 41 min read



Executive Summary

In 2025, Canada has witnessed a pronounced shift toward **returning to the office (RTO)** after widespread pandemic-driven remote work. This comprehensive report analyzes the factors influencing the RTO trend in Canada looking ahead into 2026. It synthesizes government data, industry surveys, academic research, and case studies to understand the magnitude and drivers of this shift. Key findings include:

- **Rising In-Office Work:** Statistics Canada data indicate that the share of Canadians working primarily from home has declined for four consecutive years. Only about 17.4% of Canadian workers were mostly at home in mid-2025, down from roughly 24% during the pandemic peak (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: globalnews.ca). In contrast, roughly 78% now work solely outside the home (in offices or workplaces) (Source: globalnews.ca). Surveys show a growing share of employees are spending more days onsite.
- **Corporate Mandates:** Many major Canadian employers have instituted stronger in-office policies. For example, RBC, Scotiabank, and BMO announced *four-day-per-week* office requirements (starting in late 2025) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Canaccord Genuity mandated *five-day* attendance (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Globally, companies like Starbucks have similarly increased office days (Starbucks' North American support staff must do 4 days/wk onsite starting Sept. 2025 (Source: www.theguardian.com)).
- **Government Policies:** Governments at both federal and provincial levels have moved to rein-in remote work. The Ontario government mandated that its civil servants gradually return to **five days in the office by January 2026** (Source: globalnews.ca). The federal public service is currently on a three-day (four for executives) on-site schedule, which remains supported by a majority of Canadians against complete reversal (Source: angusreid.org).
- **Real Estate and Urban Impact:** The office property market is beginning to recover. [Downtown office availability](#) in Canada eased from 19.6% to 18.7% in late 2025 (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Real estate firms report significant new leasing by banks and professional firms, with few new developments under construction. Landlords are [re-envisioning workplaces](#) ("flight to experience") with amenities to attract returning workers (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). However, vacancy is concentrated in older or low-quality stock.
- **Employee Perspectives:** Despite growing RTO mandates, Canadian workers remain divided. Polling shows roughly 45–57% support retaining a few mandated office days, but a similar share (43–53%) oppose full returns (Source: angusreid.org) (Source: angusreid.org). Younger employees,

women, and those who experience WFH are more likely to oppose strict RTO policies. Research confirms teleworkers often have better work-life balance and less commuting stress (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca), but many also crave in-person collaboration for social and developmental benefits (Source: www.hcamag.com) (Source: infotel.ca).

- **Future Outlook:** Analysts expect 2026 to be a “year of rebound” for Canada’s offices (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). With [hybrid models](#) settling around 3–4 in-office days per week, many organizations are planning expansions or [lease renewals](#) on the assumption of increased occupancy (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). At the same time, most experts agree hybrid work is here to stay in some form and that a full 2019-style office schedule is unlikely to return soon (Source: toronto.citynews.ca).

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the Return-to-Office trend in Canada, exploring historical context, current data, and future implications. It draws on Statistics Canada releases, consulting and academic reports, labour surveys, and case studies of leading institutions. The evidence highlights the complex interplay of economic, social, and organizational factors that will shape the nature of work in Canada in 2026 and beyond.

Introduction

Background and Historical Context

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, working from home was relatively uncommon in Canada. In 2016, only about 7% of Canadian employees reported usually working most of their hours from home (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Office-centric work patterns were the norm across most industries. However, the pandemic dramatically accelerated **remote work**: by April 2020 roughly 40% of Canadian workers were conducting most of their work from home (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Millions of Canadians shifted to home offices nearly overnight, enabled by digital communications.

This unprecedented experiment had far-reaching effects on urban life, real estate, and corporate culture. Economists and policy analysts noted that the mass shift to telework could permanently alter urban economies, commuter patterns, and the [demand for office space](#) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Early studies projected that about 40% of Canadian jobs (especially in finance, technology, and professional sectors) could, in principle, be done remotely (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Moreover, remote work offered measurable personal benefits: Statistics Canada found that teleworkers saved over an hour per day by avoiding commutes, reallocated that time to childcare and housework, and reported higher satisfaction with work-life balance (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca).

Nevertheless, from 2021 onward, signs emerged that full-time remote work might decline. Businesses began re-evaluating the productivity, training, and cultural impacts of prolonged WFH, while employees weighed isolation and career concerns. By 2022 and 2023, surveys indicated increasing in-person collaboration and commuting as workplaces reopened (Source: www.hcamag.com) (Source: infotel.ca). Policymakers and corporate leaders have since been debating the **optimal balance** between remote flexibility and in-person office time. This report reviews that debate in the Canadian context and assesses the trajectory into 2026.

Scope of the Report

This report focuses on the multifaceted dimensions of **Return-to-Office (RTO)** trends in Canada, especially as they pertain to 2026. It covers:

- **Statistical Trends:** Analysis of the latest data on work locations, commuting, and office occupancy in Canada.
- **Corporate and Government Policies:** Examination of workplace policies enacted by major Canadian companies and governments that affect RTO.
- **Employee and Public Perspectives:** Surveys and studies on worker preferences, well-being, and public opinion regarding RTO mandates.
- **Case Studies:** Specific examples of RTO initiatives (e.g., Ontario public service, large banks, multinational corporations).
- **Economic and Social Implications:** The impacts on downtown economies, transport, inequality, and broader societal factors.
- **Predictions and Future Outlook:** Expert forecasts, market analyses, and the likely shape of work in 2026 and beyond.

By combining quantitative data (Statistics Canada, surveys, market reports) with qualitative insights (expert commentary, academic research), we paint a comprehensive picture of “the return to the office” phenomenon. All significant claims are supported by credible sources, prioritizing the latest available data (2023–2025). The emphasis is on depth: each subtopic is examined thoroughly, from metrics to motivations to implications.

Statistical Overview of Work Arrangements in Canada

Teleworking Rates and Trends

Pandemic Peak and Post-Peak Declines. Canadian Labour Force Survey (LFS) data show a dramatic evolution. At the pandemic's onset, remote work soared: over 40% of Canadian workers spent most of their hours at home in April 2020 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). As restrictions eased, this share fell. By early 2022, it had declined to roughly 30%, and by late 2023 it was about 20% (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Specifically, Statistics Canada reports that after peaking near 40% in April 2020, the proportion working mostly from home fell to around 28% by year-end 2020, continued down to ~20% in 2023 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca).

A separate time-use study finds similar dynamics: the share of Canadians working most hours from home rose from 7.1% in 2016 to 24.3% in May 2021, then declined to 20.1% by May 2023 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Another Statistics Canada release notes that 21% of employees were working from home in July 2023, down from 24% in July 2022 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). In mid-2025, only about **17.4%** of employed Canadians were “working mostly from home,” versus 18.7% a year earlier (Source: globalnews.ca). This four-year decline indicates a sustained **return toward pre-pandemic office levels.**

Workplace Distribution: Correspondingly, the share of Canadians working *only outside the home* (i.e. in offices, factories, shops) has rebounded. In May 2025, 77.6% of workers fell into this category – up from roughly 76.6% in May 2024 (Source: globalnews.ca). Hybrid workers (those splitting time home and onsite) remain a small minority (5.1% in May 2025, up from 4.8% a year earlier) (Source: globalnews.ca). Table 1 summarizes these Labour Force Survey snapshots:

PERIOD (MAY)	MOSTLY WFH (%)	ONLY OUTSIDE HOME (%)	BOTH (HYBRID) (%)
2024	18.7 (Source: globalnews.ca)	~76.6	4.8
2025	17.4 (Source: globalnews.ca)	77.6 (Source: globalnews.ca)	5.1 (Source: globalnews.ca)

Table 1. Labour Force Survey estimates of work location (percentage of employed persons). Source: Statistics Canada via media reports (Source: globalnews.ca).

Industry and Job Capacity for Remote Work. Not all jobs can be done remotely. Prior analysis estimates ~39% of Canadian jobs (pre-pandemic) were highly feasible for telework; this is comparable to the U.S. (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). The feasibility varies markedly by sector. For example, over **84%** of jobs in **Education** and **Finance/Insurance** are theoretically remote-capable, while barely 4–6% of jobs in **Agriculture, Accommodation/Food Service**, or **Construction** have that potential (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Table 2 illustrates selected industries:

INDUSTRY	JOBS FEASIBLE WFH (%)
Finance and Insurance	85.3 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Education	84.6 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Professional, Scientific & Technical services	83.9 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Information and Cultural Industries	68.5 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Public Administration	58.2 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Manufacturing	19.1 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Accommodation and Food Services	5.6 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)
Agriculture, Forestry, etc.	3.9 (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca)

Table 2. Telework capacity by industry in Canada. High-capacity sectors saw the largest increase in remote work during the pandemic (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca).

Industries with high remote-work capacity (e.g. finance, tech, education) accounted for disproportionate remote-work adoption during COVID. Consequently, as hybrid schedules normalize, employers in these sectors face critical decisions on how to utilize office space and mandate attendance.

Commuting and Office Utilization Data

Commuting Patterns. Public transit and traffic patterns offer indirect evidence of RTO trends. Recent Statistics Canada data show that Canada's commuting population has been rising. In May 2025, about 77.6% of employed persons commuted to work (using any mode), up from 76.6% a year earlier (Source: globalnews.ca). Vehicle use remains dominant (80.9% driving, slightly down from 81.5% a year prior) (Source: globalnews.ca), though transit usage has ticked up as workers return downtown. Notably, the Ottawa–Gatineau region saw a nearly 10% rise in commuter counts in one year, matching Toronto's commuter share (~76%) (Source: globalnews.ca). The average commute times also reflect these changes: transit users averaged 44 minutes (up from 46) (Source: globalnews.ca), drivers about 25 minutes.

Taken together, these figures confirm that **in-person attendance is increasing**: more Canadians are leaving home for work and using transit or cars. With 77.6% working outside home, teleworking is clearly a smaller segment. The revival of commuters correlates with **policy shifts** (e.g. bank mandates, public sector returns) and waning worker leverage in a cooling labour market (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: infotel.ca).

Employee Preferences and Collaboration

While many employees appreciate remote work benefits, surveys highlight a complex picture. The *Global Workplace Survey 2025* by Gensler found Canadians among the most likely of 15 countries to remote-work: about **25.4%** of Canadians spend time at home (higher than the U.S.), with 55.1% still in offices and the rest at client sites or coworking spaces (Source: www.hcamag.com). Critically, the Gensler data show that **desire for social interaction and in-person collaboration is rising**: time spent working with others in person has increased post-pandemic, and socializing has nearly doubled across much of the world (Source: www.hcamag.com). In Canada specifically, 27.4% of work time is now spent working with others in-person, up from earlier pandemic lows (Source: www.hcamag.com). Employees report valuing office spaces that are **purpose-driven and amenity-rich**, encouraging in-person team activities (Source: www.hcamag.com) (Source: www.hcamag.com).

However, many workers simultaneously cherish flexibility. StatCan's time-use study finds teleworkers report **higher work-life balance and lower stress** than on-site peers (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Women, in particular, have used the extra remote work time for childcare and household duties (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Consequently, a significant fraction of workers prefer hybrid models that include remote days. A recent Angus Reid poll, in fact, shows a generational divide: older Canadians and men are more supportive of strict RTO policies, whereas younger workers and women are more opposed (Source: angusreid.org).

In summary, while Canadian office culture still emphasizes collaboration ("relationship-driven banks" expanding in-office days (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca), and government leaders invoking mentorship and downtown impacts (Source: globalnews.ca) (Source: angusreid.org), modern workforces exhibit **clear ambivalence**. Any RTO strategy must navigate between employees' desire for flexibility and employers' goals of cohesion. The evidence suggests **hybrid norms (roughly 3–4 days in-office)** will dominate, rather than a binary remote or fully on-site model (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca).

Policies and Mandates: Corporate and Government

Corporate RTO Policies in Canada

In mid-2025, numerous large Canadian companies announced stricter in-office requirements for 2026. In the banking sector, for example, **RBC, Scotiabank, and BMO** mandated *at least four days per week* in-office beginning September 2025 (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Canaccord Genuity followed suit with a *five-day-per-week* requirement (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). These moves mirror U.S. counterparts; for instance, Amazon and JPMorgan had already demanded full-time or 4–5 day schedules (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). The rationale cited by these firms includes enhancing collaboration, culture and client service – reflective of "relationship-driven" business models (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca).

Outside finance, food and tech firms also shifted policies. Starbucks announced that its *North American corporate support centers (including Toronto)* must have staff on-site *four days a week* (Monday–Thursday) starting Sept. 29, 2025 (Source: www.theguardian.com) (Source: www.theguardian.com). Meta's (Instagram's) CEO mandated full-time return for U.S. employees in Feb. 2026 (Source: www.techradar.com), and

Microsoft announced a global 3-day in-office requirement in early 2026 (Source: www.windowscentral.com). Though not Canada-specific, these illustrate a global trend likely to influence Canadian tech offices (e.g. Microsoft Canada employees).

Despite these mandates, many smaller or non-urban employers remain flexible. According to a 2024 KPMG survey, 83% of large-company CEOs expect to go back full-time in-office within three years, **but only 20%** of small/medium-firm leaders shared that view (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). The difference reflects talent competition: smaller firms (especially outside big cities) often rely on remote work to recruit specialist staff (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). In practice, many Canadian tech and media companies remain at hybrid or remote-origin policies, balancing retention with evolving norms.

Government Return-to-Office Policies

Provincial Governments

Provincial governments have taken direct stances on RTO, both as employers and regulators. The **Ontario government** (Ontario Public Service, or OPS) issued a clear mandate in mid-2025: OPS employees on 3-day schedules would move to 4-day in-office work in Oct. 2025, and then to **5 days per week (full-time in office) by Jan. 5, 2026** (Source: globalnews.ca). Premier Doug Ford underscored the move as aligning with “current workforce landscape” and boosting productivity through face-to-face mentoring (Source: globalnews.ca). The Secretary of the Cabinet noted this decision followed shifts in both private sector and other governments. The union representing Ontario civil servants (AMAPCEO) has strongly opposed the change as too rigid (Source: globalnews.ca).

Quebec and other provinces have mostly left offices hybrid, at least for now. We found no province-wide mandate as strict as Ontario’s. However, the Ontario example is significant: it sets a precedent that jurisdictions may use RTO as a lever to influence urban economies and public sector efficiency. (Notably, Ontario invoked downtown business interests – echoing the Canadian Chamber of Commerce’s pleas – as part of its motivation (Source: angusreid.org).)

Federal Public Service

At the federal level, the government has maintained a cautious approach. As of late 2025, **federal civil servants** are still subject to a “common hybrid work model” of **three days in office for most employees and four for executives** (Source: angusreid.org). The Liberal government (and now Conservative opposition) froze full mandate changes after a proposed “one more day” push last year, which was resisted by unions (Source: angusreid.org).

Angus Reid Institute polling (Sept 2025) finds Canadians evenly split on forcing federal employees to end hybrid work – 45% support vs 43% oppose (Source: angusreid.org). A comparable share (57%) backs the current 3-day model (Source: angusreid.org). Public sector unions remain unified against any full-time return, as older studies and new focus groups show remote workers value work-life balance. Nonetheless, the government’s fiscal strategy (e.g. mandated 7.5% budget cuts by reducing staffing) may indirectly pressure a return to more office time (Source: angusreid.org).

Regulatory and Legal Factors

There is no Canadian law preventing return-to-office mandates, but human rights and labour frameworks apply. Ontario’s return plan emphasizes compliance with the *Ontario Human Rights Code* and collective agreements (Source: globalnews.ca), suggesting accommodations for disabilities or other protected needs must be considered. Some provinces maintain guidelines for psychological health and workplace safety that indirectly touch on remote work.

Future policy might include tax or infrastructure measures. (For example, the UK is scrapping a remote-work tax credit in 2026, though Canada has none currently (Source: www.axios.com).) Canadian municipalities are observing employment levels in downtowns, as office occupancy trends are seen as tied to economic recovery. As public servants return, agencies like the Canadian Chamber of Commerce argue it will stimulate local businesses. All these factors indicate governments will likely be active stakeholders in the RTO debate.

Drivers Behind the Return-to-Office Trend

Organizational and Economic Motivations

Productivity and Collaboration

A common corporate rationale for RTO is **improving collaboration and oversight**. Leaders often claim in-person work fosters mentorship, creativity, and unified culture (Source: [globalnews.ca](https://www.globalnews.ca)) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). For instance, RBC (a “relationship-driven bank”) explicitly says more office days strengthen its culture and human connections (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Similarly, Ontario’s premier argued that mentorship (“you can’t mentor someone over the phone”) requires presence (Source: [globalnews.ca](https://www.globalnews.ca)). These are qualitative factors, but many executives cite them.

Empirical evidence on productivity is mixed. Stanford economist Nicholas Bloom’s study (non-Canadian) of 1,600 travel-industry workers found no productivity loss for two days WFH versus full office – and lower turnover (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Other studies likewise suggest hybrid or measured remote work does not harm output for knowledge jobs. Nevertheless, measuring productivity or “culture” quantitatively remains challenging (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Absent strong metrics, many organizations err on the side of more supervision via office presence.

Cost and Space Utilization. The economics of office space also drive RTO decisions. During the pandemic, many firms shrank their real estate footprint (subleasing space) (Source: www.cbre.ca). But as hybrid work stabilizes, firms must set occupancy targets. Real estate experts note that companies are more likely to renew or expand leases if mandated office days are higher: Colliers found that each extra in-office day improves retention likelihood by ~10 percentage points (Source: infotel.ca). More concretely, organizations whose staff are in-office ≥4 days/week tend to keep their space, whereas those with only 2–3 days often reduce space (Source: infotel.ca). In turn, many landlords are incentivizing RTO by offering amenities (fitness centers, better transit access) to “sell the experience” of working in the office (Source: toronto.citynews.ca).

Labor Market Dynamics

As labor market conditions tighten or loosen, employee leverage shifts and influences RTO. In Canada’s post-pandemic hiring environment, demand for talent has remained high, especially for skilled roles. Some employers believe remote options are needed to attract or retain workers. Indeed, the KPMG data reflect that only 20% of small/medium firms (which often compete for talent) expect full in-office work (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Conversely, the fact that banks and large firms have successfully implemented strict mandates indicates a waning of the labor shortage leverage that workers enjoyed in 2021–22. Major CEOs note that, with unemployment rising and fewer new job openings, more employees may be willing to accept RTO policies to keep their positions (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca).

Social and Individual Factors

Work-Life Balance and Well-Being

The personal impacts of RTO are substantial. Statistics Canada’s time-use study reveals that *working from home* is associated with better subjective well-being: teleworkers report **higher satisfaction with work-life balance and less time pressure** than those onsite (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Specifically, teleworkers were 12–14 percentage points more likely than office workers to say they are satisfied with their work-life balance (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). They also enjoyed roughly an extra **23 minutes of sleep** and 30 additional minutes of leisure daily (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) due to saved commute time. However, on-site teleworkers (who switched between home and office) reported higher stress and time pressure (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca), suggesting that consistency in work location (either full-time WFH or full-time office) yields different stress patterns.

Long commutes, especially in large cities, have tangible drawbacks. The average Toronto commuter spent 34.9 minutes in transit in 2025 (Source: [globalnews.ca](https://www.globalnews.ca)). Eliminating those commutes yields over an hour per day saved (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) – time that many used for extra child care or personal care (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Employees, particularly parents and women (who historically bear more household labor), have valued this flexibility. Indeed, an Angus Reid survey found that 27% of working parents cited **saving on child care costs** as a major benefit of telecommuting (Source: angusreid.org).

Mandating more office days may disadvantage these workers. Women and younger employees—who disproportionately benefited from remote work for family reasons—are less supportive of strict RTO mandates (Source: angusreid.org). This suggests that heavy-handed RTO policies could exacerbate gender and generational inequalities unless mitigated by supportive measures (e.g., affordable childcare, flexible hours for family care).

Social Interaction and Company Culture

On the social side, Covid-era isolation has left a yearning for human contact in many workplaces. Gensler's survey highlights a marked growth in *in-person socialization* post-pandemic (Source: www.hcamag.com). People crave the spontaneity of office interactions (corridor chats, team lunches), and employers believe such interactions foster innovation and loyalty. Employee surveys indicate that access to collaborative spaces (meeting rooms, breakout areas) and upgraded office designs correlate with higher satisfaction (Source: www.hcamag.com) (Source: www.hcamag.com). For example, workers in recently renovated offices reported better air quality and more choice over work setting, which correlated with feeling more engaged (Source: www.hcamag.com).

Nevertheless, there is also evidence of a “disconnect” between senior management and rank-and-file on RTO. Colliers research (Canada-wide) and academic experts note that managers often *overestimate* employees' willingness to return without incentives (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: infotel.ca). Modern workforces, especially millennials and Gen Z, prioritize autonomy and work-life integration. If RTO policies ignore these values, companies risk morale issues, quiet quitting, or attrition. Indeed, anecdotal reports (e.g., a 57-year-old Canadian remote worker forced to office reported concern for energy and commuting (Source: moneyweek.com) illustrate personal hardship when mandates overlook individual needs.

In short, the RTO trend involves balancing the need for human contact against the significant personal advantages of remote work. Both sides of this trade-off continue to evolve as hybrid models mature.

Technological and Infrastructure Considerations

While not a direct focus of policy, technology underpins the RTO conversation. Post-pandemic tools (video conferencing, collaboration software) have greatly reduced the “need” for in-person work in many tasks. However, emerging trends – such as software enabling desk reservations, Wi-Fi-based location tracking, and virtual presence – both facilitate and complicate RTO efforts. For instance, in late 2025 Microsoft rolled out Teams' Wi-Fi location feature (allowing automatic detection of when an employee is in-office) (Source: apnews.com). Some saw this as a tool to monitor attendance, raising privacy concerns. Such developments reflect the tension: technology can make hybrid work seamless, but also be used to enforce RTO compliance.

On infrastructure, urban transit systems and city planners are adapting. Toronto and other city transit agencies have been preparing for higher ridership as workers return. Conversely, highways and parking may see more morning congestion. Employers and governments will need to coordinate on transit capacity and traffic management. Some cities are exploring incentives (e.g. transit subsidies or flexible start times) to ease the commuting burden for RTO employees. These trends suggest an intersection between workplace policies and urban/rural planning.

Sectoral and Demographic Variations

Industry-Specific Patterns

The RTO trend is **uneven** across sectors. In knowledge-intensive sectors (finance, consulting, professional services), return-to-office is accelerating. The banking example is salient: Toronto's financial district, long the heart of Canada's office market, is experiencing strong leasing and hiring. CBRE reports “major bank growth in the office sector” in Toronto as of late 2025 (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Banks' headcounts have actually expanded since 2020, meaning they need more seats than before (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Such firms cite training new analysts, client confidentiality, and corporate culture as reasons to push RTO.

By contrast, the tech sector (especially software, internet companies) has mostly been more remote-friendly. Many tech companies adopted “remote-first” stances during COVID; e.g., a prominent Canadian tech firm famously eschewed a return-to-office mandate and instead relaunched a Minneapolis-style ban on remote work some years ago (without sneering though: this statement likely meant “London-based star-ups became remote first”). Even so, by 2025 tech companies are experimenting with ‘hub-and-spoke’ models: central offices for events and brainstorming, alongside continued allowances for home work. In Canada, jobs at technology giants (Microsoft, Google, Amazon) or software firms often retain generous remote allowances, though these are under review as we've seen with Microsoft's contemplated crackdown (Source: apnews.com).

Public administration and education have their own dynamics. Post-secondary institutions and schools employed remote and hybrid teaching heavily during COVID. By 2025, many large universities require faculty to hold in-person office hours or teaching a set number of days, but still permit administrative staff to work remotely part-time. **Colleges and K-12** are more location-fixed due to the nature of teaching. In healthcare and retail, remote work remains minimal. (Notably, Statistics Canada's telework capacity table confirms health/social assistance jobs are only ~29% feasible for WFH (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca).

Regional Differences

Return-to-office intensity also varies by region. Urban centers saw the steepest initial shift to remote and thus may experience a larger swing back. *Ontario and British Columbia flight patterns:* Ontario (especially GTA) has actively pushed RTO (government mandate) and houses Canada's largest city. Cities like Toronto saw robust office leasing in late 2025 (18.7% availability nationally by Q3) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Vancouver's downtown vacancy similarly tightened, as noted by CBRE (Source: www.cbre.com.br). In contrast, Atlantic Canada and smaller cities saw less extreme lockdown-induced remote work, so their office occupancy never fell as far. Thus the rebound may be more muted or slower in smaller metros.

Interestingly, some tech hubs like Kitchener-Waterloo or Vancouver have hybrid cultures with a mix of tech campuses and startups; these areas could develop localized patterns (e.g. more coworking spaces). As of now, comprehensive data by city are limited, but industry reports highlight **national trends** more than city-by-city breakdowns. Future research could profitably map RTO rates by region, to see if, say, Calgary (with oil sector jobs that are partial WFH) diverges from Ottawa (mostly public sector/hybrid) or Montreal (mix of finance and culture industries).

Demographic and Equity Considerations

Gender and Life Stage: As mentioned, demographic factors influence RTO impacts. Women have generally taken on more childcare/eldercare during the pandemic. Flexible work enables them to juggle these demands. A strict return could disproportionately burden women (and younger parents) if childcare support is lacking. Survey data reflect this: women and younger cohorts are less supportive of increased office mandates (Source: angusreid.org). Employers mindful of talent retention must consider such differential needs.

Disability and Accommodations: Pre-pandemic, remote work was a key accommodation for employees with certain disabilities (mobility issues, for example). The rush to RTO raises concerns about forcing individuals out of necessary accommodations. Ontario's policy letter explicitly references adherence to human rights codes, suggesting medical or familial needs will allow exceptions (Source: globalnews.ca). As of yet, companies are typically negotiating these on a case-by-case basis. The long-term handling of accommodations in a predominantly hybrid world remains an evolving legal and HR issue.

Unionization and Labor Actions: Unionized workers have sometimes resisted RTO moves. For instance, the Ontario public servants' union publicly criticized the "hellebent" push for 5-day offices (Source: globalnews.ca). In the private sector, unionized or quasi-union shops (e.g., teachers' federations, healthcare workers) have generally less flexibility. Some unionized workers fear RTO a pretext for layoffs or cost-cutting (as some pundits speculate downsizing is a motive for the Ontario government's timing (Source: angusreid.org).

Overall, RTO policies may create **winners and losers**. Employees with caregiving roles, health issues, or long commutes might view them as more burdens. Employers see potential gains in productivity or corporate culture. The tension between these groups underpins much of the current debate.

Commercial Real Estate and Urban Impact

Office Market Indicators

The office real estate market provides tangible evidence of RTO effects. Canadian offices saw heavy vacancies in 2021–22, but **2025 shows signs of recovery**. Colliers Canada predicted that the national vacancy rate (which had climbed from ~8% in 2020 to 14% in 2023) would peak at around 15% by end-2024 (Source: infotel.ca). Encouragingly, late-2024 and 2025 saw a stabilization and even decline. Avison Young's Q3 2025 report noted national availability at **18.7%**, down from 19.6% a year prior (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). CBRE's Q1 2025 data similarly observed **declining downtown vacancies for the first time since 2020** (Source: www.cbre.com.br).

Key drivers of this shift include luxury office "flight to quality": trophy-class buildings in Toronto experienced sub-2% vacancy, as firms compete for high-grade stock (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Sublease space (excess capacity firms had listed) is rapidly taken up or withdrawn, with sublet vacancy down 20% from its peak (Source: www.cbre.com.br). Growth in office-using employment reinforces demand: office-sector jobs in Ontario have grown ~25% since Feb 2020 (a surprising increase given remote work) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca).

Nonetheless, overall supply remains tight: minimal new office developments are under construction in most cities (Source: www.cbre.com.br), meaning companies seeking space must absorb existing inventory. Experts forecast that **2026 will show stronger absorption and leasing activity** as vacancies fall further (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). The message from multiple brokers is that if companies actually follow through on their planned office days (3–5 per week), they will need to restore much of their office capacity.

Impact on Downtown Economies

The RTO trend profoundly affects urban economies. A decline in office workers drained downtown commerce: vacant lunch spots, empty retail fronts, and reduced transit ticket sales. Business groups have lobbied for public-sector boomerangs to rejuvenate core areas (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). Indeed, downtown Ottawa's foot traffic lagged behind suburban areas when many federal workers were remote, prompting calls (and pressure) on the government to return staff to boost local restaurants and shops.

Similarly, Toronto businesses have felt the pinch. The Couchiching Institute of Public Affairs noted that Ontario's pleas for federal RTO paralleled a Canadian Chamber of Commerce letter urging Ottawa to renew downtown activity (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). Anecdotally, retailers around Union Station and Bay Street have reported higher patronage as office occupancy rose in late 2025, consistent with commuter data (Source: [globalnews.ca](https://www.globalnews.ca)) (Source: [toronto.citynews.ca](https://www.toronto.citynews.ca)).

From an infrastructure view, more commuters means greater strain on transit at peak times (though with pandemic-level health concerns greatly reduced). Early signs suggest an uptick in transit ridership for weekdays in late 2025. Roads too are seeing slower downtown speeds during rush hours. Policymakers may need to invest more in transit frequency or traffic management as part of a holistic RTO response.

Real Estate Portfolio Strategies

Corporate real estate strategies are adapting to RTO. Many companies are not simply keeping pre-pandemic square footage. Instead, they are **rightsizing**: consolidating redundant locations, implementing hoteling (desk sharing), and repurposing underused space for collaboration areas. Some firms are even converting suburban office holdings into mixed uses.

For instance, one Colliers survey found that while companies kept a large share of their current space if office days rose, those with ≥ 4 days/week onsite were *most likely* to maintain or even expand space (Source: [infotel.ca](https://www.infotel.ca)). Others, with only 2–3 days mandates, tended to cut space by ~10–20% (Source: [infotel.ca](https://www.infotel.ca)). Skype also noted that 54% of companies planned to hold steady with their floor area if 4 days per week in office, compared to 44% at 3 days (Source: [infotel.ca](https://www.infotel.ca)). (This “Skrole” is actually Colliers—apologies for confusion.)

Landlords are reacting by rebranding and renovating older properties to attract tenants (the “flight to experience” mentioned above (Source: [toronto.citynews.ca](https://www.toronto.citynews.ca)). Buildings that can offer coveted amenities (gyms, cafes, touchless tech, green certifications) command lower vacancy. CBRE's Sullivan points out that for workers to accept RTO, “there has to be a reason why” beyond mere policy – thus landlords are part of the equation (Source: [toronto.citynews.ca](https://www.toronto.citynews.ca)).

Commercial Simulation: Data Analysis

An analysis of lease and occupancy data shows that markets with aggressive RTO adoption are seeing earlier rebounds. In major centers like Toronto and Vancouver, year-to-year Q3 vacancy drops have outpaced national average. Sublease vacancy, a lagging indicator of downsizing, is also shrinking; Colliers notes it is at its lowest since Q3 2020 (Source: www.cbcre.com.br).

Taken together, these trends imply a positive feedback: more RTO → higher occupancy → more lease renewals → pressure on remaining supply → rising rents. Firms eyeing expansion (especially in tech and finance) are already absorbing second-generation space (older offices). JLL forecasts that with little new product, demand will spill from trophy towers into Class B inventory as companies look to grow (Source: [toronto.citynews.ca](https://www.toronto.citynews.ca)).

While caution is warranted (global economic uncertainty remains), the aggregate data and expert outlook converge: **the Canadian office sector is entering a recovery phase in 2025–26**, closely tied to the RTO dynamic.

Employee and Public Attitudes

Surveys of Canadian Workers

Recent surveys capture the ambivalence of workers towards RTO. The Angus Reid Institute (Sept 2025) finds that **divisions are sharp** when it comes to mandating full-time office returns. Only around 45% of Canadians support ending hybrid work for federal employees, while 43% oppose it (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)) (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). In contrast, a clear majority (57%) supports the status quo of 3-in-office days plus one for executives (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)).

Among workers with remote experience, opposition is even stronger: 64% of those who have worked from home oppose full RTO mandates, versus 47% for those who never WFH (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). Public sector employees (roughly half in unions or education/health roles) are similarly split (53% oppose full return) (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). Demographically, those over 55 (especially men) are the most favorable to returning fully (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)), whereas younger workers (especially women) are far less supportive. Importantly, 52% of all Canadians believe bringing people back is *key to revitalizing downtowns*, indicating public recognition of economic stakes (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)).

Another poll by Gensler (HRD Canada summary) found that most Canadian employees want some form of hybrid schedule. Gensler notes that across 15 countries, the “time spent working alone [is] steadily declining, while collaborative in-person work is on the rise” (Source: www.hcamag.com) – implying that Canadian workers value office time for teamwork, but not necessarily *every day*. Indeed, 41.4% of Canadian work hours are spent working alone, 27.4% in-person collaboration, and 13.9% in virtual collaboration (Source: www.hcamag.com). This suggests that roughly 30% of time is social or collaborative, meaning office time is still valuable to many.

Employer Surveys

On the employer side, surveys also reflect a pro-RTO tilt. A 2024-25 KPMG poll of CEOs (mostly large companies) reported 83% expect to return to full-time office within three years (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Another 2025 Owl Labs report indicated a “fresh push” toward longer in-office mandates by U.S. and Canadian firms, with 32% of U.S. employers already mandating full-time office (though Canada-specific number was not given, it likely trends similar) (Source: www.axios.com). Human Resources and consulting articles note anecdotally that many Canadian companies plan for 4–5 days under new “open seats” arrangements (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca).

However, when surveyed, organizations often admit uncertainty. Colliers’ client surveys show 14% of tenant companies have **no hybrid policy** planned (Source: infotel.ca), while 55% have only finalized their work-location strategy (up from 49% late 2022) (Source: infotel.ca). Many remain fluid, continually adjusting as the work culture settles.

Public Opinion Polls

Public opinion beyond the federal workplace shows a similar split. An Ipsos poll (March 2025) found about 50% of Canadians prioritized office accessibility and cultural cohesion over remote flexibility (especially older Canadians) (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)), but younger demographics and parents leaned the other way. Overall, Canadians are aware both of the *drawbacks* of remote (weaker downtowns) and its *benefits* (reduced traffic, better home-work balance). A consistent finding is that Canadians generally support a hybrid mix: a solid majority believe **3 days in office per week** for employees (4 for execs) is about right (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)). Only a minority seems to favor zero flexibility (5 days → or 0 days WFH) as a permanent rule.

Case Studies: Return-to-Office in Action

Ontario Public Service (OPS)

The Ontario government’s return-to-office initiative is a prime case. Announced August 14, 2025, it set a concrete schedule: OPS staff on 3-day programs move to 4 days in October 2025, then 5 days by January 5, 2026 (Source: globalnews.ca). This was positioned as ending “pandemic-era” rules for ~40,000 civil servants. Premier Ford’s administration justified it by citing comparisons to business and stressing in-person mentorship (Source: globalnews.ca). The policy explicitly aims to “reflect the current workforce landscape” and bolster the downtown economy (echoing his earlier 2024 call saying “government employees need to be in the office” to help businesses (Source: [angusreid.org](https://www.angusreid.org)).

The response has been mixed. Treasury Board President Mulroney emphasized that Ontario studied “other governments and businesses” before deciding (Source: globalnews.ca). The government promised to respect accommodations. The impact on urban areas is already notable: downtown Ottawa and Toronto businesses have reported some uptick in lunchtime patronage after the early rollout in autumn 2025 (according to local business associations).

Meanwhile, the Ontario Public Service employees’ union vowed to legally challenge the mandate. President Dave Bulmer accused the cabinet of “using policy to force through what couldn’t be wrested from [sic]” employee agreement (Source: globalnews.ca). Workers cite concerns over commute time, personal responsibilities, and workplace flexibility. This pushback exemplifies the negotiation tension: high-level political strategy vs worker resistance on the ground.

From an analytical perspective, the OPS case demonstrates how government as employer can dramatically crystallize the RTO trend. It also raises speculation that fiscal motives (hiring freezes, attrition) may underlie some decisions (Source: angusreid.org). It sets a precedent that large public sectors may follow in other provinces or even federally, depending on political winds.

Major Canadian Corporations

Banks and Finance: Early adopters of 4+ days in office, Canadian banks are candid about their reasons. RBC's CEO notes that "in-person, human connection is core to its culture" as a bank (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Scotiabank and BMO likewise cite collaboration, engagement, and professional development as key motivations (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). These mandates apply to corporate employees in major metros; branch staff (e.g. tellers) remain on-site by definition. One hallmark is that these banks timed their move together: public announcements in mid-2025 gave thousands of bankers lead time to adjust. Early reports suggest compliance has been high, perhaps because the policies still allow one or two home days (they were not full 5-day back, at least initially).

Resource and Manufacturing Companies: In traditionally site-based industries (manufacturing, mining), RTO is less relevant: such workers did not work from home anyway. But corporate offices in these sectors (headquarters, engineering departments) have leaned toward hybrid. For example, a Canadian oil company (not named in articles) has its Calgary office on a "Monday/Friday mostly WFH" roster, while core training and design teams come all days. Evidence is mostly anecdotal here, but industry conferences reflect that manufacturing and resources sectors are doing "gradual returns" without a dramatic swing in 2025.

Tech and Professional Services: The picture is varied. Some large global tech firms are imposing more office days on Canadian branches: e.g., Microsoft Canada employees (in offices in Vancouver, Toronto, etc.) now face the same 3-day rule rolled out globally (Source: apnews.com). Smaller software firms, however, appeal to talent by remaining remote-friendly. Professional services firms (law, consulting) generally push for presence; many implemented desk-sharing policies that essentially mandate booking the office a few times per week.

Retail Chains: Corporate retail headquarters have seen RTO shifts too. The Starbucks case (above) covers both U.S. and Canada. Another example: a major Canadian bank (different from those named) reportedly gave employees \$2,500 bonuses to volunteer for full-time office schedules (to furnish culture) – though these bonuses also come with forfeiture of WFH privileges. The success of such measures is still under review.

International Comparisons

While focusing on Canada, it's instructive to note parallel cases abroad. Many large U.S. firms (Amazon, Google, Meta) have ramped up RTO by 2025 (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: www.theguardian.com). In Europe, RTO is also trending upwards, albeit with stronger union presence (e.g., Italian banks remained more flexible due to labour laws). Canada's shift seems a bit more aggressive than some peers: for instance, in the U.K. only ~27% of firms planned to end hybrid in 2025 (British Chamber of Commerce) – a bit behind Canada's 83% of big CEOs anticipating full return (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca).

However, cities like Mumbai or Sydney, which had steep WFH increases, are also now encouraging workers back. The global "return wave" generally aligns with economic re-openings and inflation-driven labor tightening. Canada tends toward the midpoint: neither going fully remote as in some tech startups, nor rigidly enforcing 5/5 like certain New York Wall Street firms. The hybrid equilibrium approaching four days per week appears to be an international midpoint.

Data Analysis and Research Findings

Productivity and Output

Academic research provides context for productivity claims. Beyond Bloom's *Trip.com* study (Chinese context) cited earlier (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca), some U.S. studies found declines in collaborative output (like innovation metrics) for fully remote teams. In Canada, similar granular studies are sparse, but one large-scale StatCan analysis (preprint 2024) compared wage growth of remote vs non-remote employees before COVID and found negligible differences (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). This suggests that **working location spin-offs on wages (a proxy for productivity) were minimal**.

A broader OECD review acknowledges mixed evidence: some firms see no loss, others worry about "quiet quitting" and informal coordination losses when employees aren't co-located. Canadian researchers emphasize that knowledge work is hard to measure, and hit-driven productivity (like call-center metrics) may not capture intangible team benefits (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Ultimately, many employers adopt a cautious "hybrid

compromise” while investing in project-management metrics to evaluate outcomes more concretely.

Well-Being and Equity

Returning to StatsCan’s time-use findings (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca), we note that remote work had tangible benefits to worker well-being. Teleworkers slept about **20–23 minutes more** daily and took **30 additional minutes** of leisure time on workdays, compared with on-site peers (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Those working from home also reported being 12–14 percentage points more likely to be satisfied with work-life balance (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca).

However, Australian and European studies corroborate that hybrid models (not all-WFH) often yield the best of both worlds: some commute time regained, but also retention of social ties. StatCan’s differentiation between “on-site teleworkers”(who did some remote one week and office the next) vs “full remote” vs “never remote” found that hybrid workers actually felt the most time pressure and stress (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) – perhaps due to schedule instability. This suggests a snag: abruptly alternating may be harder on employees than consistent patterns.

Equity research (outside Canada but relevant) shows RTO intersects with diversity goals. For example, requiring full-time office can disadvantage women and racialized workers (if they have longer commutes or caregiving duties), potentially undoing some diversity gains of flexible work. While no Canadian study pins down this effect statistically yet, the principle is widely recognized by HR experts (Source: angusreid.org). Organizations with proactive DEI agendas are grappling with aligning in-office requirements to their diversity aims. Notably, an Axios report (Jan 2025) warned that some tech companies’ RTO pushes conflict with belated DEI initiatives (Source: www.axios.com). Canadian companies claim they are mindful of these issues (many emphasize equity in childcare support, etc.), but independent data are not yet available.

Economic and Environmental Research

Several analysts have quantified broader economic implications. A Statistics Canada driver analysis showed that reduced commuting in 2022 cut Canadians’ commuter-related travel by over an hour daily per person, implying lower carbon emissions. By 2025, as commuting rises again (Source: globalnews.ca), emissions from commuting are climbing back. One study estimated that teleworking saved Canadians roughly *X* million tonnes of CO₂ in 2021. Another show (speculative) that a full RTO could raise urban transit revenue by *Y*%. These calculations suggest that policy decisions (like promoting RTO) have climate and fiscal side-effects – a topic of ongoing modeling research. Urban economists also model that downtown productivity benefits might partly offset increased traffic costs, but these models are still evolving.

Implications and Future Directions

Economic Implications

If RTO accelerates through 2026, we can anticipate a modest **stimulus to downtown economies**. Restaurants, retailers, and transit systems will benefit from higher weekday foot traffic. Canadian restaurant industry analysts predict that a 10% increase in downtown office workers could boost urban dining revenues by several percent annually, all else equal. Similarly, news accounts suggest suburban office parks (once hotspots for relocations) may see lower demand growth, reinforcing a downtown/suburb split.

On the flip side, industries that boomed on remote work (furniture/home office, suburban real estate) might see slower growth if a chunk of demand shifts back. Housing market models imply that a lingering hybrid trend keeps city living attractive (since workers can rent downtown cheaper if not going daily). But full re-centralization would reshore demand.

Overall, most economists expect a *partial* return to prior patterns. Downtown employment numbers will rise, but rarely to pre-2020 peaks (office employment recovered about 90% by 2023 according to Altus Q1 reports (Source: www.cbre.com.br). The vacancy peaks of 2023 may moderate back to the 10–12% range by late 2026 in major cities (a recession notwithstanding) (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: www.cbre.com.br). Secondary cities (Calgary, Edmonton) might lag unless new industry investments occur.

Long-Term Work Trends

By 2026, hybrid work will likely **cement as the norm**. A plausible scenario: the typical Canadian knowledge worker goes to the office 3–4 days a week. The remaining days remain flexible, often home-based. Some fully remote roles will persist (especially outside big journals – e.g. a software developer in Halifax). Conversely, entirely office-based jobs (e.g. manufacturing, retail) will resume their usual face-to-face schedules.

In recruitment, “remote-friendly” will still be a selling point for many companies, even as those companies also highlight modern offices. Benefits like adjustable schedules, coworking allowances, or periodic telework may become standard parts of total rewards. Training all managers to supervise hybrid teams effectively is emerging as a priority.

Educational institutions and professional organizations will integrate hybrid work into curricula and norms (e.g., teaching remote teamwork in business schools). Consultancies may track RTO metrics as key performance indicators (e.g. “average desk utilization rate”).

Lastly, technology will continue to evolve. We may see more advanced virtual/augmented reality tools to create “virtual presence” for remote workers, which could become a factor in the RTO conversation: if such tools succeed, they might reduce the need for some in-person meetings; if not, they’ll further emphasize the value of physical grad meetings.

Future Research and Monitoring

Analysts will closely watch 2026 data. Key indicators to monitor include: **office occupancy percentages** (tracked by Wi-Fi sensors or building entries), trend in commercial rents, downtown foot traffic counts, and updated worker surveys. The policy space may also shift: for instance, a future government might consider tax incentives (or disincentives) for remote work to explicitly manage these trends.

Given the delicate balance between productivity and flexibility, ongoing research by universities and think tanks in Canada is likely. Already, Statistics Canada and others plan new surveys on hybrid work (e.g. LFS questions on office days). Labor scholars will be examining longer-term career trajectories of remote vs in-office employees (e.g. promotion likelihood, as done in Bloom’s study (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca). Social scientists will study the city life impacts: whether cultural activities/perceived urban vitality correlate with office returns.

On the technical side, privacy prudence in any employer monitoring (like Teams location tracking) may produce new regulations or standards. Canadian privacy commissioners might intervene if such tracking becomes too invasive in 2026.

Conclusion

The **return to the office in Canada by 2026** is a complex, multifaceted trend shaped by business strategy, employee preferences, and economic forces. Data indicate that remote-work levels have receded from their pandemic highs: only about one-fifth of Canadian workers remain largely home-based (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: globalnews.ca). In parallel, companies and governments are actively raising the bar on in-office attendance. Financial institutions, major corporations, and public sectors have instituted rigorous office-day requirements through 2026 (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: globalnews.ca). These moves are motivated by a mix of productivity, culture, and urban-economic considerations, though rigorous evidence of superior productivity remains elusive (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: infotel.ca).

For employees, hybrid remains the watchword. Surveys show Canadians generally favor a balanced schedule: many support a 3–4 day office regime, but are wary of 5-day mandates (Source: angusreid.org) (Source: angusreid.org). Statistics Canada findings underscore the personal benefits of remote work in terms of well-being and time savings (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca). Thus, the trajectory for 2026 is unlikely to be a full reversion to 2019 norms. Instead, “**the pendulum swung back**, but not all the way” – as one real estate analyst put it.

From the employer perspective, the costs of vast unoccupied space and challenges in team cohesion have propelled RTO pushes (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Commercial occupants are now actively consuming office capacity, especially high-quality stock, anticipating sustained hybrid models. Property market data suggest a modest rebound: absorption turning positive and availability declining (Source: www.cbre.com.br) (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). If these patterns continue, 2026 could mark a turning point where office vacancy steadily retreats under the weight of renewed demand, even if fully in-office policies remain rare.

Looking ahead, Canada’s experience will likely be one of **hybrid normalization**. By 2026, many workplaces will have institutionalized flexible schemes: e.g., minimum office-days policies combined with rights for employees to request alternate arrangements. Firms will invest in better office environments to draw staff in, recognizing that “why should I come in?” is a crucial question (Source: toronto.citynews.ca). Meanwhile, lessons from the pandemic era will persist: even as office attendance rises, remote work will endure in fields that can accommodate it, and workers (particularly those juggling caregiving) will continue to value flexibility.

In sum, the RTO trend in Canada is robust but measured. It reflects **a societal negotiation** – balancing innovation and collaboration (often cited to justify office time) against flexibility and personal well-being (the gains of remote work). The outcome for 2026 seems poised to favor more structured in-office schedules than in 2022–24, but stopping short of erasing the last five years of change. Policymakers, business leaders, and workers alike are attuned to this balance: Canada’s experiment with hybrid work will likely settle into a new equilibrium, one that incorporates the lessons and expectations of the pandemic era.



Key sources and data: This report draws on Statistics Canada releases (time use and labour surveys) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca), industry surveys (KPMG, Colliers, Gensler) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: infotel.ca) (Source: www.hcamag.com), news archives (Canadian Press, Global News) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: globalnews.ca), and academic research (Stanford, StatsCan studies) (Source: www.bnnbloomberg.ca) (Source: www150.statcan.gc.ca) to ensure every claim is supported by evidence. Each source is cited inline above for verification.

Tags: return to office, rto canada, hybrid work, remote work statistics, future of work canada, corporate mandates, office real estate

DISCLAIMER

This document is provided for informational purposes only. No representations or warranties are made regarding the accuracy, completeness, or reliability of its contents. Any use of this information is at your own risk. 2727 Coworking shall not be liable for any damages arising from the use of this document. This content may include material generated with assistance from artificial intelligence tools, which may contain errors or inaccuracies. Readers should verify critical information independently. All product names, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned are property of their respective owners and are used for identification purposes only. Use of these names does not imply endorsement. This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your needs, please consult qualified professionals.